Effects of physical exercise on beta cells of the pancreas: a systematic review
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ABSTRACT

Background: Diabetes mellitus is a chronic disease that is rising in many parts of the world causing damage to functionality, quality of life and public health system. Objective: Verify the effects of physical exercise on beta cells of the pancreas in diabetic subjects. Methods: The database Cochrane Library via Wiley – CENTRAL, Pubmed, LILACS, SCIELO, Web of Science, Scopus, CINAHL were used to search the articles. The descriptors and synonyms of this topic were used in the search strategy. Controlled randomized clinical trials and quasi-randomized studies, which included in their samples diabetic humans undergone any type of physical exercise were included. The Cochrane collaboration bias risk tool was used to assess the risk of bias. Results: A total of 3.133 articles were initially identified and after reading the titles/abstracts and the full text 6 articles were selected for the qualitative evaluation. Most of the studies showed positive results of physical exercise on pancreatic beta cells, insulin secretion, insulin resistance and insulin sensitivity. The main limitations were the number of studies, few, and their methodological qualities. Conclusions: Physical exercises have beneficial effects on pancreatic beta cells, insulin resistance and insulin sensitivity; however better studies designs, with fewer biases and larger samples are needed so that the results presented do not be overestimated. This review is recorded in the Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews with registration number 42017054213.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is a chronic disease that has presented alarming data on its incidence and prevalence. Some data on the prevalence of self-reported diabetes in Brazil presented an estimated 9 million people in the country, about 3.5 million of them aged 65 years or over⁴¹. According to the International Diabetes Federation there is a projection that 592 million people will suffer from diabetes in 2030³. Factors such as deficits in insulin and glucagon secretion influence the development of diabetes³, although other factors such as overweight and increased abdominal fat may also influence the development of this pathology⁴⁴. It is noteworthy that beta cells, located in the pancreas, produce the hormone insulin, exerting a direct influence on glycemic control and when damaged directly affect the production of this hormone⁵. In an attempt to find ways to control insulin action, a study was conducted with healthy subjects, indicating that there was release of humoral mediators after physical exercise (PE), resulting in the prevention of the proinflammatory action of cytokines, which destroy the beta cells of the pancreas, demonstrating that the practice of physical activities reduces the deterioration of the beta cells of the pancreas, generating greater production of insulin in healthy people⁶⁻⁹. Despite the knowledge of some benefits generated by PE in healthy subjects, other forms of treatment have also been used to control glycemia, such as the ingestion of high doses of vitamin D⁷, the use of medication ᴨ⁻⁹ and sleep control¹⁰. Although there is an understanding of the effects of the practice of PE on various physiological functions related to glycemic control in various populations, information on the impact of aerobic and anaerobic PE on pancreatic beta cells in the population of patients with diabetes is still unknown. Considering the assumptions presented, this work aims to perform a systematic review about the effects of aerobic and anaerobic exercises on pancreatic beta cells in diabetic patients compared to any active control group or with non-PE.
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METHOD

This review followed the recommendations of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) and is registered in the Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews – PROSPERO with number 42017054213. The last search was made on August 6, 2017. Primary, controlled and randomized clinical trials and quasi-randomized studies were included, which included patients with type 1 and/or type 2 diabetes undergoing aerobic and/or anaerobic exercise for at least 30 minutes of duration, using pancreatic beta cells as the primary endpoint and glucose tolerance, insulin secretion/concentration, insulin resistance and insulin sensitivity as secondary endpoints. There was no restriction in relation to the period of follow-up for the eligibility of the included studies, nor any other limitation in relation to the languages, year or situation of the publication during the searches of the studies in the databases. The Cochrane Library via Wiley – CENTRAL, Pubmed, LILACS, SCIELO, Web of Science, Scopus, CINAHL databases were used for the search of the articles because they contain a large part of the periodicals related to the subject under study. The clinical trial registry (clinicaltrials.org) was also used as a search source in an attempt to map studies that are still ongoing. The entire search strategy was done by two researchers independently using the descriptors for the simple searches and their respective synonyms for the sensitized search. After the search, the titles of the articles were read in order to exclude those who clearly did not approach the proposed theme. In case of doubts the articles were stored so that the abstracts were read and if the doubt persisted they were read integrally. The selection process of the studies was done by two researchers independently and at the consensus meeting it was decided which of the articles would be part of the review. If there was a disagreement a third researcher was consulted. After the selection of the articles, the data were collected through a form created by the researchers in order to obtain all the relevant data of the included studies. Data were collected regarding the objectives, sample characteristics, interventions, outcomes and main results of each study. The Cochrane Collaboration tool was used to assess the risk of bias in the included studies. The items of the included studies were analyzed and judged judiciously, according to the handbook chochrane. The evaluation process was done by two researchers independently and if there was disagreement a third researcher was consulted.

RESULTS

A total of 3,133 articles were initially identified. After reading the titles/abstracts, 3,126 papers were excluded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria, with a total of 13 articles remaining eligible. Finally, after the full reading, 6 articles were selected for the qualitative evaluation, as represented in figure 1. The articles presented
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a total of 194 type 2 diabetics in their samples and 31 controls (non-diabetic), but the number of subjects analyzed in the outcomes varied between the studies, for example beta cell function (n = 225), insulin secretion (n = 74), insulin concentration (n = 54), insulin resistance (n = 170), insulin sensitivity (n = 225) and plasma glucose concentration (n = 23). Table 1 shows the characteristics of the included studies. Considering the methodological quality of the 6 articles included in this review it is emphasized that all presented high risk of bias, as shown in figure 2.

Table 1. Characteristics of the included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Sample characteristics</th>
<th>Intervention/Outcome</th>
<th>Main results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Madsen, SM et al., 2007(21)</td>
<td>To investigate the effectiveness of two moderate-intensity exercise programs on glucose metabolism and pancreatic beta cell function in patients with type 2 diabetes.</td>
<td>The sample consisted of 120 type 2 diabetic patients (62 female) with mean age: 55.54 ± 9.09 years and diagnosed in 14 ± 5.24 years before.</td>
<td>The patients were randomized into 3 groups: aerobic exercise group, millions of accumulated steps group or control group each with 40 people. All performed exercises for 12 weeks / time per day, 5 days per week, lasting 30 minutes. Outcomes: glucose metabolism and pancreatic cell function.</td>
<td>Regardless of the type of intervention there are potential benefits to glucose metabolism and pancreatic cell function of type 2 diabetics. The millions of accumulated steps group had better results on glucose metabolism and beta cell function compared to the aerobic exercise group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, SF et al., 2015(27)</td>
<td>To examine the effects of a 3-month aerobic exercise program on young insulin resistant obese patients with and without type 2 diabetes.</td>
<td>The sample consisted of 31 subjects: 13 were diabetic type 2 with mean age of 25.8 years and 18 were non-diabetic with mean age of 23.7 years.</td>
<td>The entire sample practiced 1 hour of exercise daily, 4 times a week for 12 weeks. Outcome: Insulin level, insulin sensitivity and secretion and beta cell function.</td>
<td>Any groups presented significant results on the outcomes analyzed after the aerobic exercise intervention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makindele, RF et al., 2012(20)</td>
<td>To examine the effectiveness of intermittent exercise with and without hypoxia in type 2 diabetics in acute and moderate terms of glucose kinetics and insulin sensitivity.</td>
<td>The sample consisted of 8 sedentary men diagnosed not more than 5 years with type 2 diabetes. Mean age: 58.7 (± 2.2).</td>
<td>The first visit allowed the collection of preliminary data and the achievement of lactate threshold values under normal conditions of hypoxia (without hypoxia). The patients practiced exercises in 3 conditions of hypoxia separated by at least 7 days for each type of exercise done. After each exercise session (day 1) the participants returned to the laboratory after 24h (day 2) and 48h (day 3) for the measurement of glucose kinetics and glycemic control. Outcomes: Insulin sensitivity, insulin resistance, and beta cell function.</td>
<td>Sixty minutes of continuous exercise at 90% of the lactate threshold in hypoxia provided the best results in the control of glucose on type 2 diabetics in acute and moderate terms. Intermittent exercise increased beta cell function, stimulated glucose elimination, and improved insulin resistance after exercise combined with hypoxia 5: 5 (5 minutes of exercise and 5 minutes of rest). The blood glucose concentration decreased and its sensitivity increased in similar degrees during the 2 forms of exercise: exercise for 5 minutes at 120% of the lactate threshold, separated by 5 minutes of passive recovery totaling 60 minutes and exercise in Hypoxia 5: 5 (5 minutes of exercise and 5 minutes of rest). Hypoxia 60 (60 minutes of continuous exercise at 90% of the lactate threshold in hypoxia) improved insulin resistance 24 hours after exercise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backx, K et al., 2011(21)</td>
<td>To examine the effectiveness of a 12-week standard care program or a supervised exercise program on glycemic control, beta cell response, insulin resistance and lipid profile in patients newly diagnosed with type 2 diabetes.</td>
<td>The sample consisted of 19 patients with type 2 diabetes (15 males), median age of 59.6 years.</td>
<td>The standard program consisted of guidelines for moderate and high intensities exercises for 30 minutes, 5 times a week; the supervised exercise program consisted of 3 exercises with 60-minute support and 2 unsupported exercises per week for 12 weeks. Outcomes: Acute insulin response, beta cell response and Insulin secretion / resistance.</td>
<td>No difference between groups in all outcomes. No significant changes in beta cell response or insulin resistance were observed after the standard care program, however, after the supervised exercise program, beta cell rapid response and insulin resistance decreased significantly. A significant increase in the acute insulin response to glucose was observed after the supervised exercise program.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

This is the first systematic review of studies that analyzed the effects of PE using as primary endpoint the beta cells of the pancreas of diabetic patients. In addition, it is important to mention that there are few studies that used beta cells of the pancreas in this population as primary endpoint. The beta cells, located in the pancreas, are important producers of insulin and they can do this complex work at any moment, through innumerable physiological and environmental stimuli. When insulin sensitivity decreases, producer cells may lose their function\(^{(13)}\) and according to Kahn et al.\(^{(14)}\), pioneers on the field, there is a hyperbolic relationship between the action of beta cells and insulin sensitivity, i.e., there is a homeostasis while beta cells can increase or decrease their production, according to changes in insulin sensitivity, so it is essential the maintenance of its function. The diabetic patients involved in the studies of this review presented beneficial responses on beta cells after PE. One possible explanation would be because of the release of humoral mediators\(^{(6)}\) and increased secretion of the glucagon-like peptide-1 hormone\(^{(15)}\). These elements generate protective effects on the beta cells, for example, by reducing the death of these cells and stimulating their proliferation. In addition to PE, there are other variables not analyzed by the studies included in this review that may influence beta cell function, including sleep duration\(^{(16)}\) and compounds present in the diet, such as Kaempferol\(^{(17)}\). Regarding the results that there are no benefits after the practice of PE on beta cells, these can be justified by the limited amount of PE taxes and sedentary behaviors of the sample\(^{(18)}\). Another factor would be the body weight of subjects, that...
may have had a negative influence on glycated hemoglobin, which is a parameter used to verify glycemic metabolism after the practice of PE [19]. As glycated hemoglobin, there are other means to verify some outcome related to glucose metabolism, for example, the gold standard for measuring insulin resistance is the euglycemic-hyperglycemic clamping [20], although there are also other validated forms of measurement such as fasting glycemia [21]. Considering the improvements found by some studies regarding insulin resistance, this can be justified because of the increase in lean mass, decrease of total and visceral fat in the PE practitioners, thus contributing to decrease the insulin resistance [22].

Regarding insulin secretion, some studies of this review have demonstrated that there is no significant influence of PE on diabetic subjects. Contradictory results were observed by other authors on the grounds that the types of PE, rest time, load and frequency used may have influenced the difference in response between the studies [23,24].

The investigated studies presented conflicting results in relation to the outcomes analyzed, and may have occurred due to the different methods used, for example, study designs, inclusion and exclusion criteria, sample size and different PE interventions. This review presented as a limitation the low number of studies. It should be noted that although the majority of the articles presented positive results regarding the practice of PE on pancreatic beta cells, insulin resistance and insulin sensitivity, caution should be exercised in the results since, according to table of risk of bias, all articles presented in this review had a high risk of bias.

CONCLUSION

Physical exercises have beneficial effects on pancreatic beta cells, insulin resistance and insulin sensitivity, but better study designs are required, with less risk of bias and with larger samples so that the results presented are not overestimated.
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